	Case 1:22-cv-01499-KES-SAB Documen	t 48 Filed 06/06/25 Page 1 of 2						
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	JASON AMICO,	No. 1:22-cv-01499-KES-SAB (PC)						
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING						
13	v.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT						
14	IDALBERTO ZALDIVAR-GALVES,	Docs. 36, 45						
15	Defendant.	Docs. 30, 43						
16								
17								
18	Plaintiff Jason Amico is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action							
19	filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge							
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.							
21	On December 18, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and							
22	recommendations, recommending defendant's motion for summary judgment, Doc. 36, be							
23	granted. Doc. 45. On December 30, 2024, plaintiff timely filed objections, and defendant filed a							
24	response on January 13, 2025. Docs. 46, 47.							
25	In his objections, plaintiff largely restates the same arguments made in his opposition to							
26	defendant's motion for summary judgment. <i>See generally</i> Doc. 46. Plaintiff also contests the							
27	findings and recommendations' conclusion that plaintiff's asserted disputes of material facts were							
28	not supported by any evidence. <i>Id.</i> For the reasons stated in the findings and recommendations,							

	Case 1:22-cv-	-01499-KES-SAB	Document 48	Filed 06/06/25	Page 2 of 2		
1	plaintiff's objections are unpersuasive. See F.T.C. v. Publ'g Clearing House, Inc., 104 F.3d						
2	1168, 1171 (9th Cir. 1997) ("A conclusory, self-serving affidavit, lacking detailed facts and any						
3	supporting evidence, is insufficient to create a genuine issue of material fact.").						
4	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted a conducted accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.						
5	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the						
6	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.						
7	Accordingly,						
8	1.	The findings and re	ecommendations i	ssued December 18	, 2024, Doc. 45, are		
9		ADOPTED IN FU	LL;				
10	2.	Defendant's motio	n for summary jud	or summary judgment, Doc. 36, is GRANTED; and			
11	3.	The Clerk of Court	is directed to ente	er judgment in favor	of defendant and close		
12		this case.					
13							
14							
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.			Lith I	1		
16	Dated: _	June 5, 2025	_	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT II DGE		
17				UNITED STATES	DISTRICT JUDGE		
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
20	Ĭ						